LEGAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE STUDIES, www.jlas.upit.ro
e-ISSN: 2344-6900, ISSN-L: 1583-0772
No.1 (34), Year XXV, 2026, pp. 136-144

INTERSECTION BETWEEN EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND ENVIRONMENTAL LAW: GOVERNANCE OPPORTUNITIES AND REGULATORY CHALLENGES

Andreea BURUIANA
ORCID [ID]: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1535-7270
Affiliation: Ștefan cel Mare University of Suceava, Romania

Abstract: *The rapid adoption of emerging technologies, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and blockchain technologies, has begun to transform the foundations of environmental management and the enforcement of environmental law. This article explores the dynamics between technological innovation and the legal framework for environmental protection, examining how these digital tools can serve as catalysts for more efficient environmental monitoring, pollution traceability, and regulatory enforcement. At the same time, the study highlights the paradigm of "technology as polluter," addressing the ecological footprint of digital infrastructure and the current legislative gaps in the face of new risks, such as biased algorithms in resource allocation or electronic waste. Through an analysis of the relevant literature and the European normative framework, the paper argues for a shift from reactive regulation to adaptive and forward-looking regulation, capable of integrating the principles of sustainable development in the digital age. The conclusions emphasize that only through a hybrid governance model, combining legal rigor with technological precision, can a healthy environment be ensured for future generations.*

Keywords: *environmental law; emerging technologies; Artificial Intelligence; environmental governance; sustainability; adaptive regulation*

Introduction

In recent decades, our society has undergone an accelerated transition toward a digitalized economy, a process that has generated a profound impact on all branches of law, including environmental law. The relationship between technology and the environment has initially been an ambiguous one: technology has been perceived both as a primary cause of ecological degradation (through industrialization and intensive exploitation) and as an essential instrument for its remediation (green technologies, filtration systems, renewable energy). However, the emergence of "emerging technologies"-a concept that encompasses Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), Big Data, blockchain, and quantum computing-brings into discussion a new paradigm (Katie, 2024, pp. 29–42).

Environmental law, characterized by fundamental principles such as the precautionary principle, the "polluter pays" principle, and the principle of prevention, is at a critical stage of reassessment. Traditional legal frameworks, often rigid and slow to develop, face significant difficulties in keeping pace with the speed of technological innovation. For example, the capacity of AI systems to process vast volumes of climate data can revolutionize urban planning; however, the enormous energy consumption of the data centers that support these algorithms calls into question carbon neutrality objectives (Cowan, 2021, pp. 1–25).

The purpose of this article is to analyze this duality. In the first part, we will explore the potential of emerging technologies to strengthen the concept of environmental law through real-time monitoring and traceability. The second part will address the risks and negative externalities of these technologies, suggesting the inadequacy of current regulations. The final part will propose directions for the evolution of legislation, emphasizing the need for an adaptive legal framework that integrates algorithmic ethics into the equation of environmental protection.

Emerging technologies as instruments for strengthening environmental law

The integration of emerging technologies into the field of environmental protection offers unprecedented opportunities to improve regulatory efficiency and compliance. One of the major historical challenges of environmental law has been the inefficiency of mechanisms for monitoring and detecting environmental offenses. In this context, the Internet of Things (IoT) and advanced sensors emerge as viable solutions. IoT technology enables the creation of dense sensor networks capable of monitoring water, air, and soil quality parameters in real time. This transition from periodic (manual) monitoring to continuous monitoring fundamentally transforms the nature of evidence in environmental law. For example, the detection of a leak of polluting substances can occur instantaneously, allowing authorities to intervene preventively and thereby support the precautionary and prevention principles (Bodle, Oberthür, Donat et al., 2014). Moreover, the use of drones and satellite imagery, processed through artificial intelligence algorithms, facilitates the detection of illegal deforestation or unauthorized construction in protected areas with greater accuracy than ground patrols. This significantly reduces enforcement costs and increases the likelihood of detection for polluters, thereby discouraging unlawful environmental behavior (Feroz, Zo, Chiravuri, 2021, p. 1530).

Another emerging technology with a major impact is blockchain. In the context of waste regulations and the circular economy, traceability is essential. Blockchain-based systems can create immutable registries that track the lifecycle of a product, from manufacturing to recycling. This makes it possible to accurately verify whether a producer has fulfilled its extended producer responsibility (EPR) obligations. Such transparency reduces the likelihood of fraud in environmental data reporting, a chronic issue in many jurisdictions. Sara Saberi et al. (Saberi, Kouhizadeh, Sarkis, & Shen, 2019, pp. 2117–2135) emphasize that blockchain technology can automate compliance through smart contracts, which trigger automatic penalties when environmental parameters are not met (Ungureanu, & Buruiană, 2025). Regarding the role of Artificial Intelligence in predictive

modeling, AI not only monitors but also anticipates. Machine learning algorithms can model climate change scenarios and predict the risks of natural disasters, such as wildfires and floods, with increasing accuracy. From a legal perspective, this enables authorities to adopt land-use planning measures and administrative regulations based on probabilistic data (evidence-based regulation), rather than solely on historical records (Corsei., Zisu, &Țoncu, 2023, pp. 52-60). This predictive approach strengthens the precautionary principle by providing a solid scientific foundation for adopting restrictive measures before environmental damage occurs (Vinuesa, Azizpour, Leite et al., 2020).

2. Legal challenges and the ecological impact of digitalization

Although the benefits are evident, the adoption of emerging technologies generates new forms of environmental risk and complex legal challenges that require careful regulation. Technology is not a neutral actor; it has its own "ecological footprint" and introduces social risks that intersect with environmental law. An often-overlooked aspect in the discourse on "green" digitalization is the direct environmental impact of these technologies. Training Artificial Intelligence models consumes massive amounts of energy (Corsei, 2025, pp. 88-97). Studies indicate that training a single Natural Language Processing (NLP) model can generate carbon dioxide emissions comparable to the entire lifecycle emissions of five automobiles (Strubell, Ganesh, & McCallum, 2019, pp. 3645–3650). Moreover, the Digital Single Market has led to a proliferation of data centers, which require not only substantial energy but also significant quantities of water for cooling. Current environmental law struggles to regulate these issues effectively; although EU Directives on energy efficiency address consumption, there is a lack of specificity regarding the environmental externalities of intensive computational processes (Cowan, 2021, pp. 1–25). Regulating these aspects requires an expansion of the concept of the "polluter" to include emissions generated by algorithmic operations.

In the same context, the use of algorithms in environmental decision-making raises fundamental issues of legal liability. If an algorithm that autonomously manages a water or energy system causes environmental harm-for example, through the over-allocation of resources leading to the depletion of a river-who is responsible? Is it the developer of the algorithm, the system operator, or the entity that validated the input data? Traditional environmental law is grounded in direct causality and fault, yet the opaque nature of the algorithmic "black box" makes it difficult to establish causal links and assign responsibility (Hildebrandt, 2015). At the same time, the use of Artificial Intelligence in environmental protection poses significant risks related to algorithmic discrimination. AI-based systems may produce environmentally inequitable decisions, such as prioritizing economically developed areas in the allocation of resources for disaster prevention, including floods or droughts. Such practices can marginalize vulnerable communities and violate the principles of intra- and intergenerational equity enshrined in environmental law.

This issue becomes even more complex in the context of regional conflicts, such as the war in Ukraine, which generates severe and long-lasting environmental consequences. The destruction of industrial infrastructure, fires, water and soil pollution, and risks associated with energy and nuclear facilities intensify pressure on ecosystems and public health. In such situations, the use of emerging technologies for monitoring, assessment, and intervention must be guided by criteria of equity and transparency to prevent the unequal distribution of protection and resources among regions affected differently by conflict. Therefore, the integration of Artificial Intelligence into environmental governance requires not only technological efficiency but also robust legal safeguards to prevent algorithmic bias and ensure equitable environmental protection, including in areas affected by armed conflict (Baldé, Forti, Gray, Kuehr, & Stegmann, 2017).

Furthermore, emerging technologies depend on hardware-such as sensors, servers, and IoT devices-which typically have short life cycles. This leads to an exponential increase in the volume of electrical and electronic waste (e-waste), which contains toxic substances such as lead, mercury, and cadmium. Current waste regulations, including the EU

Directive on Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE), primarily focus on collection and recycling. However, the pace of innovation means that recycling methods often lag behind the complexity of new materials. A more robust legal approach is needed-one that mandates "Design for Environment" (DfE) as a compulsory standard during the research and development phase of new technologies, rather than addressing environmental concerns only at the post-consumption stage (Baldé, Forti, Gray, Kuehr, & Stegmann, 2017).

3**. The legal framework of the future: toward adaptive and digitalized environmental law**

To manage the complexity introduced by emerging technologies, environmental law must evolve from a static command-and-control system into an adaptive and intelligent legal framework. This transformation requires not only legislative updates but also a paradigm shift in how lawmakers perceive the relationship between technology and legal norms.

Given that technology evolves faster than the legislative process, detailed and prescriptive laws quickly become obsolete. The solution identified in the specialized literature is a transition toward principles-based regulation, which establishes clear environmental objectives while allowing flexibility in the technological means used to achieve them (Black, 2008, pp. 425–457). An innovative instrument in this regard is the "regulatory sandbox." These are controlled environments in which companies can test new green technologies under the supervision of authorities, without being immediately subject to all standard legal constraints. This approach enables the collection of empirical data on real risks and benefits, providing legislators with the necessary information to develop informed and effective regulations (Gumbo, & Chude-Okonkwo, 2025).

At the same time, the future of environmental law must address the ethical dimension of technology. This involves mandating Algorithm Impact Assessments (AIA) for major environmental projects that employ artificial intelligence. Similar to Environmental Impact Assessments

(EIA), these evaluations should examine potential biases, resource consumption, and decision-making transparency. European regulation on artificial intelligence (the AI Act) represents an initial step in this direction by classifying high-risk AI systems; however, the specificity of the environmental domain requires additional tailored standards (Terec-Vlad, & Ungureanu, 2025, pp. 72–83). For example, algorithms used for biodiversity monitoring or water resource management should be subject to strict requirements regarding auditability and explainability (Floridi, Cowls, Beltrametti et al., 2018, pp. 689–707; Jobin, Ienca, & Vayena, 2019, pp. 389–399).

Ultimately, legal evolution must recognize the need to interconnect digital rights with environmental rights. Access to environmental information has become synonymous with access to data. Public authorities should not only publish reports but also provide raw data collected through IoT sensors in open data formats, enabling civil society to actively participate in monitoring environmental conditions. The legalization and standardization of environmental data formats can democratize ecological oversight, thereby reducing information asymmetry between polluters and citizens (Gupta et al., 2020). This technologically supported participatory approach represents a modern fulfillment of the principle of access to justice in environmental matters.

Conclusions

The technological revolution we are experiencing is not merely an economic driver but a determining factor for the future of the planet. The analysis conducted in this article highlights that emerging technologies hold vast potential to transform environmental law from a reactive and often inefficient instrument into a proactive system grounded in real-time data and precise scientific predictions. The Internet of Things, blockchain, and Artificial Intelligence can become powerful allies of environmental protection, enabling a far more rigorous application of fundamental principles such as precaution and the "polluter pays" principle (Saberi, Kouhizadeh, Sarkis, & Shen, 2019, pp. 2117–2135).

However, techno-optimism is dangerous. Technology comes with its own ecological costs-energy consumption, electronic waste, and social risks related to algorithmic discrimination-that current legal frameworks do not adequately address. It is imperative that legislators do not allow innovation to outpace regulation. The future of environmental law lies in hybrid governance, which imposes sustainability standards for digital infrastructure ("green computing"), utilizes regulatory sandboxes to test innovations, and institutionalizes algorithmic auditing. Only through a legal approach that integrates digital ethics with ecological imperatives can we hope to achieve the goals of sustainable development in the 21st century.

References

Baldé, C.P., Forti, V., Gray, V., Kuehr, R., and Stegmann, P. (2017). *The Global E-Waste Monitor 2017: Quantities, Flows and Resources*. United Nations University.

Black, J. (2008). Forms and Paradoxes of Principles Based Regulation. *London School of Economics Law, Society and Economy Working Papers, volume 3, Issue 2*.

Bodle, R., Oberthür, S., Donat, L. et al. (2014). *Options and Proposals for the International Governance of Geoengineering*. Ecologic Institute, Dessau-Roßlau.

Corsei, A., Zisu, M.A., and Țoncu S. (2023). The European Union and fundamental human rights. *AGIR Bulletin, Issue 4*.

Corsei, A. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and the Right to a Fair Trial in the Context of Evidence Administration. *Anuarul Universitatii Petre Andrei din Iasi-Fascicula: Drept, Stiinte Economice, Stiinte Politice [Yearbook of Petre Andrei University of Iasi - Section: Law, Economic Sciences, Political Sciences] Volume* 34.

Cowan, K. (2021). Greening the Black Box: The Environmental Impact of the Digital Economy. *Journal of Environmental Law, volume 33, Issue 1*.

Feroz, A. K., Zo, H., and Chiravuri, A. (2021). Digital Transformation and Environmental Sustainability: A Review and Research Agenda, *Sustainability, volume 13, Issue 3*.

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M. et al. (2018). *AI4People – An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society: Opportunities, Risks, Principles, and Recommendations.* Minds & Machines, volume 28.

Gumbo, L., and Chude-Okonkwo, U. A. K. (2025). Regulatory Sandbox as a Frontier for Innovation and Sustainability: A Systematic Review. *Cogent Business & Management, volume 12, Issue 1*.

Gupta, A. et al. (2020). Transparency in Global Sustainability Governance: To What Effect?, *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning*.

Hildebrandt, M. (2015). *Smart Technologies and the End(s) of Law: Novel Entanglements of Law and Technology*. Edward Elgar.

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., and Vayena, E. (2019). The Global Landscape of AI Ethics Guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, volume 1, Issue 9*.

Katie, B. (2024). Internet of Things (IoT) for Environmental Monitoring. *International Journal of Computing and Engineering, volume 6, Issue 3*.

Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., and Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain Technology and Its Relationships to Sustainable Supply Chain Management. *International Journal of Production Research, volume 57, Issue 7*.

Strubell, E., Ganesh, A., McCallum, A. (2019). Energy and Policy Considerations for Deep Learning in NLP. *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics.*

Terec-Vlad, L., and Ungureanu, C.G. (2025). The Rights of Artificial Intelligence: Between Ethics, Law and Normative Pragmatism. *Journal Universul Juridic, Issue 9.*

Ungureanu, C., and Buruiană, A. (2025). Climate Justice and Global Responsibility for a Clean Environment. *Valahia Law Study*.

Ungureanu, C., & Terec-Vlad, L. (2025). Regional Conflicts and Their Consequences on the Environment – Legal, Ecological, Socio-Economic, and Ethical Perspectives. *European Journal of Law and Public Administration, volume 12, Issue 2*.

Vinuesa, R., Azizpour, H., Leite, I. et al. (2020). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Communications, volume 11*.